arXiv:1608.02470v1 [math.RT] 8 Aug 2016

# THE POLES OF IGUSA ZETA INTEGRALS AND THE EXTENDABILITY OF SEMI-INVARIANT DISTRIBUTIONS

JIUZU HONG

Abstract. We investigate the relationship between the poles of Igusa zeta integrals and the extendability of semi-invariant distributions. Under some algebraic conditions, we obtain an upper bound for the order of the poles of Igusa zeta integral, and by using the order of the poles we give a criteria on the extendability of semi-invariant distributions. A key ingredient of our method is the idea of generalized semi-invariant distributions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Main theorem 3
3. Proof of main Theorem 7
3.1. Generalized semi-invariant distributions on homogeneous spaces 7
3.2. A localization principle for generalized semi-invariant distributions 9
3.3. A non-degenerate pairing of lattices 11
3.4. Invariance of Igusa zeta integral 13
3.5. Final proof 14
4. Examples 17
References 21

## 1. Introduction

Following Bernstein-Zelevinsky [BZ], we define an $\ell$-space to be a topological space which is Hausdorff, locally compact, totally disconnected and secondly countable. An $\ell$-group is a topological group whose underlying topological space is an $\ell$-space. Let $G$ be an $\ell$-group acting on an $\ell$-space $X$. Let $D(X)^\chi$ be the space of $\chi$-invariant distributions on $X$

$$D(X)^\chi := \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{S}(X), \chi),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is the space of Schwartz functions on $X$ and $G$ acts on $\mathcal{S}(X)$ naturally. Here a character $\chi$ of $G$ is a continuous homomorphism $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, where we take discrete topology on $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

*Key words and phrases.* Invariant distribution, localization principle, meromorphic continuation, zeta integral.

If $X$ is a $G$-homogeneous space, then by Frobenius reciprocity it is easy to determine the space $D(X)^\chi$. It is either 1-dimensional or 0. When it is nonzero, we say $\chi$ is admissible on $X$ or $X$ is $\chi$-admissible. If $X$ is not a homogeneous space, it is in general a difficult question to determine the space $D(X)^\chi$. Let $D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ be the space of generalized $\chi$-invariant distributions on $X$ (see definition in Section 3.1). Let $\Lambda_G$ be the quotient $G$ by the normal subgroups generated by all compact open subgroups. The action of $\Lambda_G$ on $D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ determine the space $D(X)^\chi$ of $\chi$-invariant distributions on $X$. This point of view originated in [HS] and it continues to play important role in this paper.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero with the normalized norm $|\cdot|$. It was proved in Tate thesis that for any character $\chi$ of $\mathrm{F}^\times$, the space $D(\mathrm{F})^\chi$ is exactly of dimension 1. When $\chi$ is nontrivial, the proof is easy. When $\chi$ is trivial, the proof can be sketched as follows. We attached a zeta integral

$$\int_{\mathrm{F}} \phi(x)|x|^s \frac{dx}{|x|},$$

for any Schwartz function $\phi$ on F. It has a simple pole at $s = 0$. Taking all coefficients of the Laurent expansion of the zeta integral at $s = 0$ and considering the natural action of $\mathrm{F}^\times$ on the space of generalized invariant distributions, we can conclude that the only invariant distribution on F is the delta distribution which is supported at the origin.

B. Sun and the author consider much more general setting in [HS]. We use the coefficients of Laurent expansion of Igusa zeta integral to produce generalized semi-invariant distributions and prove that the semi-invariant distributions or more generally semi-invariant invariant distributions can be extended as generalized semi-invariant distributions under some algebraic-geometric conditions. In fact in [HS] we also obtain an automatic extension theorem by using homological algebraic methods, which will be also crucial to the computation in Section 4.

For the applications of invariant distributions in representation theory of $p$-adic group and number theory, it is more important to know if a semi-invariants distribution can be or can not be extended to a semi-invariant distribution. In this paper, we pursue the investigation of the extension problem of semi-invariant distributions following the ideas and techniques of generalized semi-invariant distributions developed in [HS].

Let $\mathsf{X}$ be an algebraic variety over F and let $f$ be a regular function on $\mathsf{X}$. Let $\mu$ be an algebraic measure on $\mathsf{X}_f(\mathrm{F})$ where $\mathsf{X}_f$ is the open subvariety of $\mathsf{X}$ defined by $f$. Then we can attach a zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ for any Schwartz function on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$,

$$Z_{f,\mu}(\phi) = \int_{\mathsf{X}_f(\mathrm{F})} \phi(x)|f|^s d\mu.$$

In general $Z_{f,\mu}$ absolutely converges when $\Re(s) \gg 0$ and has meromorphic continuation. We impose an action of a linear algebraic group $\mathsf{G}$ over F on $\mathsf{X}$ and assume $f$ and $\mu$ are semi-invariant. We investigate the relationship between the poles of $Z_{f,\mu}$ and the extendability of $\mu$ to $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$ as a semi-invariant distribution. The principle is that the order of the pole of zeta integral controls the

extendability of semi-invariant distribution. We state the main theorem in Theorem 2.5. In the main theorem, we impose some conditions on a stratification on $V(f) = \mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})\backslash\mathsf{X}_f(\mathrm{F})$. We obtain a bound of the pole relying on the stratification and an extendability criteria based on the order of the pole. The above example on p-adic line in Tate thesis serves as the most basic example.

When the Schwartz function $\phi$ is a characteristic function on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{R})$ where R is the ring of integers in F, the monodromy conjecture by Igusa (cf.[Ig1, De2] predicts that the poles of Igusa zeta function $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ are closely related to the b-function $b_f$ associated to $f$ (introduced by Bernstein[Be1]). More precisely if $s_0$ is a pole of $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$, then the real part $\Re(s_0)$ is a root of $b_f$. In fact when $\mathsf{X}$ is a prehomogeneous space, it has been well understood (cf.[KSZ]), and the b-functions for prehomogeneous spaces are also very computable (cf.[Ki, SKKO]). Therefore in many cases it is quite manageable and predictable on the extendability of semi-invariant distributions by using the pole of Igusa zeta integral.

In Section 2, we first define several notions including standard Igusa zeta integrals and fiberizable spaces, and then we state the main theorem (Theorem 2.5), and give some corollaries (Corollary 2.7,2.9 ). Section 3 is denoted to the proof of Theorem 2.5. In Section 3.1, we first review the basics of generalized semi-invariant distributions and then we determine the space of generalized semi-invariant distributions on algebraic homogeneous space in Proposition 3.4. In Section 3.2, we use equivariant $\ell$-sheaves to prove a localization principle for generalized semi-invariant distributions (Proposition 3.9, 3.10 ). In Section 3.3, we prove a non-degenerate pairing between the lattice of algebraic characters of a connected linear algebraic group $\mathsf{G}$ over F and the lattice $\Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})}$ in Proposition 3.5. In Section 3.4 we use the invariance of Igusa zeta integral to prove Proposition 3.13. Combine all these preparations, the proof of the main theorem is concluded in Section 3.5.

As an illustration of using Theorem 2.5 and its corollaries, we compute all semi-invariant distributions on the space $\mathrm{F}^n \times \mathrm{F}^n$ with an action of the group $G = \mathrm{F}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{F}^\times$. As a consequence we show that on this space for any character $\chi$ of $G$, the space of $\chi$-invariant distributions is at most 1-dimensional (Theorem 4.1). There are different phenomenons when $n = 1$, $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$. When $n = 1$, our main theorem is not applicable when $\chi$ is trivial and we need new arguments in Lemma 4.7.

**Acknowledgements**: The author would like to thank B. Sun for the collaboration in [HS] and many discussions on the computation of the examples in Section 4.

## 2. Main theorem

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and let R be the ring of all $p$-adic integers in F containing a uniformizer $\pi$. Let $q$ be the cardinality of the residue field of F and let $|\cdot|$ the normalized norm on F, i.e. $|\pi| = q^{-1}$.

As usual, by an algebraic variety over F, we mean a scheme over F which is separated, reduced, and of finite type. A linear algebraic group over F is a group scheme over F which is an affine variety as a scheme.

Let $\mathsf{X}$ be an algebraic variety over F. Let $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ be the subvariety of $\mathsf{X}$ defined by $f = 0$. Let $\mathsf{U}$ be the complement of $\mathsf{Z}(f)$ in $\mathsf{X}$. The set $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$ of F-rational points in $\mathsf{X}$ is naturally an $\ell$-space. Given a distribution $\mu$ on $\mathsf{U}(\mathrm{F})$, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$ we associate it to a zeta integral

$$Z_{f,\mu}(\phi) := \int_{\mathsf{U}(\mathrm{F})} \phi(x)|f(x)|^s d\mu.$$

**Definition 2.1.** We say the zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ if

(1) for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$, $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ absolutely converges when $s \gg 0$, and $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.
(2) there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$, $(s - s_0)^{n_0} Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is analytic.

It is clear that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ if and only if $Z_{f,|f|^{s_0}\mu}$ is standard at $s = 0$. If $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$, we define the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = s_0$ to be $n_0$ if $(s-s_0)^{n_0} Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is analytic for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$, and $(s-s_0)^{n_0-1} Z_{f,\mu}(\phi_0)$ has pole at $s = s_0$ for some $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$. In particular the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = s_0$ is always greater or equal than the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ at $s = s_0$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$.

The Igusa zeta integrals have been studied extensively by Igusa[Ig2], Denef[De] and many others. In general the zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is a rational function in $q^{-s}$. In [HS, Theorem 5.13] we prove a general version of absolute convergence and meromorphic continuation in the setting of semi-algebraic $\ell$-space. In particular we provide the following examples in [HS, Section 6.7].

**Example 2.2.** Let $\mathsf{X}$ be a variety over F. Let $f$ be a regular function on $\mathsf{X}$ and let $\mathsf{X}_f$ be the open subvariety of $\mathsf{X}$ defined by $f$. Let $\mathsf{U}$ be the smooth part of $\mathsf{X}_f$.

(1) Assume $\mathsf{X}_f$ has rational singularities. Let $\omega$ be an algebraic volume form on $\mathsf{U}$. Then $|\omega|$ extends to a measure on $\mathsf{X}_f(\mathrm{F})$, and the zeta integral $Z_{f,\psi|\omega|}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ for any $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\psi$ is any locally constant function on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$.
(2) Assume $\mathsf{X}_f$ has Gorenstein singularities. Let $\omega$ be an algebraic $n$-volume form on $\mathsf{U}$. Then $|\omega|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ extends to a measure on $\mathsf{X}_f(\mathrm{F})$, and the zeta integral $Z_{f,\psi|\omega|^{\frac{1}{n}}}$ is standard at at $s = s_0$ for any $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\psi$ is any locally constant function on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$.

Let $\mathsf{G}$ be a connected linear algebraic group $\mathsf{G}$ over F acting on the variety $\mathsf{X}$ over F. Then we get an action of the $\ell$-group $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ on the $\ell$-space $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$. A regular function $f$ on $\mathsf{X}$ is $\nu$-invariant where $\nu$ is an algebraic character of $\mathsf{G}$ over F, if

$$f(g \cdot x) = \nu(g) f(x) \quad \text{for any } g \in \mathsf{G}(\bar{\mathrm{F}}), x \in \mathsf{X}(\bar{\mathrm{F}}),$$

where $\bar{\mathrm{F}}$ is an algebraically closure of F.

An algebraic character $\nu$ of $\mathsf{G}$ over F is a homomorphism $\nu : \mathsf{G} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ of linear algebraic groups over F where $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the split multiplicative group over F. Let $\Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$ be the lattice of all algebraic characters of $\mathsf{G}$ over F. We say an algebraic character $\nu$ is admissible on a homogeneous variety $\mathsf{G}/\mathsf{H}$ for some algebraic subgroup $\mathsf{H}$ of

$\mathsf{G}$ if $\nu$ can be descended to a regular function on $\mathsf{G}/\mathsf{H}$. For any $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$-orbit O in $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$, we define $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ to be a sublattice of $\Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$ consisting of all admissible algebraic characters of $\mathsf{G}$ on $\mathsf{G}\cdot x$, where $x \in \mathrm{O}$. $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in \mathrm{O}$.

Given any algebraic character $\nu$ of $\mathsf{G}$ over F, we denote by $|\nu|$ the associated character of $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$, i.e. $|\nu|(g) := |\nu(g)|$ for any $g \in \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space with an action of an $\ell$-group $G$. We say $X$ is **fiberizable** if there exists an $\ell$-space $Y$ and a morphism $h : X \to Y$ of $\ell$-spaces such that $h(g \cdot x) = h(x)$, and for any $y \in Y$ the fiber $h^{-1}(y)$ is a disjoint union of finite many closed $G$-orbits.

**Remark 2.4.** *Let $\mathsf{X}$ be a variety over* F *with an action of a linear algebraic group $\mathsf{G}$ over* F*. If $\mathsf{X}$ has a geometric quotient $\pi : \mathsf{X} \to \mathsf{Y}$ (see the definition in* [MFK]*), then $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$ is fiberizable. Because for any $y \in \mathsf{Y}(\mathrm{F})$, the fiber $\psi^{-1}(y)$ consists of finite many $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$-orbits by finiteness of Galois cohomology (see* [PR, Section 6.4, Corollary 2 and Section 3.1, Corollary 2]*).*

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let $\mathsf{X}$ be an algebraic variety over* F *with an action of a connected linear algebraic group $\mathsf{G}$ over* F*. Let $f$ be a $\nu$-invariant regular function on $\mathsf{X}$ where $\nu$ is an algebraic character of $\mathsf{G}$ over* F*. Let $V(f)$ be the zero set of $f$ on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$ and put $U = \mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})\backslash V(f)$. Let $\mu$ be a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $U$ where $\chi$ is a character of $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$, Assume that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = 0$, moreover we assume that there exists a filtration $\emptyset = V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots V_{k-1} \subset V_k = V(f)$ of closed $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$-stable subsets of $V(f)$ such that*

1. *for each $i$, all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$ are contained in a fiberizable $G$-stable locally closed subset of $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$ (see Definition 2.3);*
2. *for each $i$, $\nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ where $\Psi_i$ is the sublattice of $\Psi_G$ spanned by $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ for all $\chi$-admissible orbits* O *in $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$.*

*Then $Z_{f,\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$ of order $\leq \ell_\chi$, where $\ell_\chi$ is the cardinality of the following set*

$$\{i \,|\, V_i\backslash V_{i-1} \text{ contains at least one } \chi\text{-admissible orbit}\}.$$

*Moreover if $\ell_\chi \geq 1$ and the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is exactly equal to $\ell_\chi$, then $\mu$ can not be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$.*

In above theorem, if $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = s_0$ and we replace $\chi$ by $\chi|\nu|^{s_0}$ in the conditions, then $Z_{f,\mu}$ has pole at $s = s_0$ of order $\leq \ell_\chi$ and if the order is exactly equal to $\ell_\chi$, then $|f|^{s_0}\mu$ can not be extended to a $|\nu|^{s_0}\chi$-invariant distribution on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$.

By Theorem 2.5, the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by $\ell_\chi$. If we can find a Schwartz function $\phi$ on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$ such that the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ at $s = 0$ is greater or equal than $\ell_\chi$, then the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is equal to $\ell_\chi$.

Theorem 2.5 will be proved in Section 3. We first give some remarks of some facts relating to the conditions in Theorem 2.5.

**Remark 2.6.** (1) *Given any variety* $\mathsf{X}$ *defined over* F *with an action of a linear algebraic group* $\mathsf{G}$ *defined over* F*, by a theorem of Rosenlicht ( see* [Ro], [PV, Theorem 4.4, p.187] *), there always exists a filtration of* $\mathsf{G}$*-stable closed subvarieties* $\mathsf{X}_0 \subset \mathsf{X}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathsf{X}_k = \mathsf{X}$ *such that for each* $i$*,* $\mathsf{X}_i \backslash \mathsf{X}_{i-1}$ *has geometric quotient. Hence the for each* $i$*,* $\mathsf{X}_i(\mathrm{F}) \backslash \mathsf{X}_{i-1}(\mathrm{F})$ *is fiberizable.*

(2) *For each* $i$*, if* $V_i \backslash V_{i-1}$ *contains finite many* $\chi$*-admissible orbits and there is no closure relation among these orbits, then Condition (1) of the theorem is satisfied.*

(3) *Let* O *be a* $\chi$*-admissible* $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$*-orbit in* $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$*. Let* $\Xi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *be the set of all admissible characters of* $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ *on* O*. Then* $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *acts on* $\Xi_{\mathrm{O}}$*, i.e. for any* $\chi \in \Xi_{\mathrm{O}}$*,* $|\beta|^s \chi \in \Xi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *for any* $\beta \in \Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *and* $s \in \mathbb{C}$*. In particular if the set* $\Xi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *consists of only countable many admissible characters of* $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{F})$*, then* $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *is trivial.*

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5.

**Corollary 2.7.** *With the same setup as in Theorem 2.5. Assume that* $Z_{f,\mu}$ *is standard at* $s = 0$*, moreover we assume that*

(1) *all* $\chi$*-admissible orbits in* $V(f)$ *are contained in* $Y$ *where* $Y$ *is a* $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$*-stable locally closed subset of* $V(f)$ *which is fiberizable.*

(2) $\nu \notin \Psi_{V(f)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ *where* $\Psi_{V(f)}$ *is the sublattice of* $\Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$ *spanned by* $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ *for all* $\chi$*-admissible orbits* O *in* $V(f)$*.*

*Then* $Z_{f,\mu}$ *at most has simple pole at* $s = 0 \in \mathbb{C}$*, and* $Z_{f,\mu}$ *has pole at* $s = 0$ *if and only if* $\mu$ *can not be extended to a* $\chi$*-invariant distribution on* $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$*.*

**Example 2.8.** We consider the following action of $\mathrm{G} := \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{F}) \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{F})$ $(n \geq 1)$ on the space $\mathrm{M}_n := \mathrm{M}_{n,n}(\mathrm{F})$ of $n \times n$-matrices with coefficients in F:

$$(g_1, g_2) \cdot x := g_1 x g_2^{-1}, \qquad g_1 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{F}),\ g_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{F}),\ x \in \mathrm{M}_n.$$

Let $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}}$ denote the set of rank $r$ matrices in $\mathrm{M}_{n,n}$, which is a G-orbit. The matrix space $\mathrm{M}_n$ is union of $\mathrm{O}_r, r = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. Let $\mathrm{d}x$ denote the Haar measure on $\mathrm{M}_n$ and for any character $\chi$ of $\mathrm{F}^\times$, we associate the following zeta integral $Z_{\det,\chi(\det)\mathrm{d}x}$

$$Z_{\det,\chi(\det)\mathrm{d}x}(\phi) = \int_{\mathrm{M}_n} \phi(x) |\det|^s \chi(\det) \mathrm{d}x, \qquad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{M}_n).$$

It is well-known $Z_{\det,\chi(\det)\mathrm{d}x}$ is standard everywhere. The Haar measure $\mathrm{d}x$ is $(|\cdot|^n, |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant, and $\chi(\det)\mathrm{d}x$ is $(\chi|\cdot|^n, \chi^{-1}|\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant, where we denote any character of G by $(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ for some characters $\chi_1, \chi_2$ of $\mathrm{F}^\times$,

$$(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \chi_1(\det(g_1))\chi_2(\det(g_2)), \qquad \text{for any } g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_n.$$

The computation (cf.[Ig, Chapter 10.1])

$$\int_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathrm{R})} |\det(x)|^s \, \mathrm{d}x = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - q^{-i}}{1 - q^{-i-s}}$$

shows that when $\chi = |\det|^{-i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, $Z_{\det,\chi(\det)\mathrm{d}x}$ has pole at $s = 0$. Note that when $r < n$, $\mathrm{O}_r$ is the only $(|\cdot|^r, |\cdot|^{-r})$-admissible orbit and the lattice $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}_r}$

of admissible algebraic characters on $\mathrm{O}_r$ is trivial. Corollary 2.7 immediately implies that for any $r = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, $Z_{\det,|\det|^{r-n}\mathrm{d}x}$ has simple pole at $s = 0$ and the $(|\cdot|^r, |\cdot|^{-r})$-invariant distribution $|\det|^{r-n}\mathrm{d}x$ on $\mathrm{O}_n$ can not be extended to a $(|\cdot|^r, |\cdot|^{-r})$-invariant distribution on $\mathrm{M}_n$. Now it is not hard to show that $\dim D(\mathrm{M}_n)^{\chi,\chi^{-1}} = 1$ for any character $\chi$ of $\mathrm{F}^\times$. In particular Tate thesis on the p-adic line is a special case. This example has been computed in [HS, Section 7] also using generalized semi-invariant distributions. With the help of Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.7, the arguments can be greatly simplified.

We emphasize that the lattice $\Psi_i$ in Theorem 2.5 or $\Psi_{V(f)}$ in Corollary 2.7 might not be trivial, see the example in Proposition 4.6 in Section 4.

From Theorem 2.5, we can get many more corollaries by adjusting the filtration and the lattice conditions $\nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. The following is another example.

**Corollary 2.9.** *With the same setup as in Theorem 2.5. Assume that $Z_{f,\mu}$ is standard at $s = 0$, moreover we assume that*

(1) *there are finite many $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V(f)$,*
(2) *$\nu \notin \Psi_{\mathrm{O}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ for any $\chi$-admissible orbit $\mathrm{O}$ in $V(f)$.*

*Then the order of the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by the number of $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V(f)$.*

*Proof.* There exists a filtration of $G$-stable closed subsets $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_k = V(f)$ such that for each $i$, $V_i \backslash V_{i-1}$ exactly consists of one $\chi$-admissible orbits. By Theorem 2.5, it is immediate that the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by the number of $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V(f)$. □

## 3. Proof of main Theorem

In this section, we are devoted to prove Theorem 2.5.

3.1. **Generalized semi-invariant distributions on homogeneous spaces.** Given an $\ell$-space $X$ with the action of an $\ell$-group $G$, let $S(X)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on $X$, i.e. locally constant $\mathbb{C}$-valued function with compact support on $X$. We define the action of $G$ on $S(X)$ as follows,

$$(g \cdot \phi)(x) = \phi(g^{-1} \cdot x), \quad \text{for any } g \in G, \phi \in S(X), \text{ and } x \in X.$$

It gives a left action of $G$ on $S(X)$. Let $D(X)$ denote the space of distributions on $X$, i.e. all linear functionals on $S(X)$. We define the right action of $G$ on $D(X)$, i.e.

$$(\xi \cdot g)(\phi) = \xi(g \cdot \phi), \quad \text{for any } g \in G, \xi \in D(X) \text{ and } \phi \in S(X).$$

Given a character $\chi$ of $G$, we denote $D(X)^{\chi,k}$ the space consisting of distributions $\xi$ such that

$$\xi \cdot (g_1 - \chi(g_0))(g_1 - \chi(g_1)) \cdots (g_k - \chi(g_k)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_k \in G.$$

Put

$$D(X)^{\chi,\infty} := \bigcup_k D(X)^{\chi,k}$$

Any distirbution $\xi$ in $D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ is called a generalized $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X$, and any distribution $\xi \in D(X)^{\chi,k}$ is a generalized $\chi$-invariant distribution of order $\leq k$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $D(X)^{\chi,k}$ still carry the action of $G$. Clearly any generalized $\chi$-invariant distribution of order $\leq 0$ is $\chi$-invariant. For any $g \in G$, the operator $g - \chi(g)$ acts on $D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ nilpotently.

Let $G^\circ$ be the subgroup of $G$ generated by all open compact subgroups of $G$. $G^\circ$ is nomral in $G$. We put

$$\Lambda_G := G/G^\circ.$$

Let $J_{G,k} = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G]/(I_G)^{k+1}$, where $I_G$ is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G]$, i.e.

$$I_G := \{\sum_{g\in\Lambda_G} a_g g \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G] | \sum_{g\in\Lambda_G} a_g = 0\}.$$

Note that $J_{G,k}$ carries a natural action of $G \times G$. The following lemma follows from [HS, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 3.1.** $D(X)^{\chi,k} = \mathrm{Hom}_G(S(X) \otimes J_{G,k}, \chi)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Given a representation $V$ of a compact group $K$, any generalized $\chi$-invariant vector is $\chi$-invariant.*

*Proof.* It follows from the complete reducibility of representation of compact group. □

In the rest of this subsection, we determine all generalized semi-invariant distributions on algebraic homogeneous space.

Let $\mathsf{G}$ be a connected linear algebraic group over F. Let $\mathsf{H}$ be an algebraic subgroup of $\mathsf{G}$. In the rest of this subsection, we always use $G$ to denote $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ and use $H$ to denote $\mathsf{H}(\mathrm{F})$.

The following lemma is well-known (cf. [Ig, Prop.7.2.1]).

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any character $\chi$ of $G$, $G/H$ is $\chi$-admissible if and only if*

$$\chi|_H = |\Delta_{\mathsf{G}}| \cdot |\Delta_{\mathsf{H}}|^{-1},$$

*where the algebraic modular character $\Delta_{\mathsf{G}}$ is given by the 1-dimensional representation of $\mathsf{G}$ on $\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}\mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathsf{G}$ with the adjoint action of $\mathsf{G}$, and the algebraic character $\Delta_{\mathsf{H}}$ is defined similarly.*

It is clear that $D(G/H)^{\chi,\infty} \neq 0$ if and only if $\chi$ is admissible on $G/H$. When the character $\chi$ of $G$ is trivial on the unipotent radical of $G$, the following proposition is an immediate consequence of [HS, Theorem 6.15]. We give a proof for general case here.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Assume $G$ is connected. Moreover $X = G/H$ is $\chi$-admissible. Then for any $\xi \in D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ can be written as*

$$\xi = P(\mathrm{val} \circ \alpha_1, \mathrm{val} \circ \alpha_2, \cdots, \mathrm{val} \circ \alpha_r)\mu,$$

*where $P$ is a polynomial in $r$ variables, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r$ are algebraic characters of $G$ which are trivial on $H$, and $\mu$ is the $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X$.*

*Proof.* Let $\xi$ be any generalized $\chi$-invariant distribution on $X$ of order $\leq k$. Choose an open compact subgroup $K$ of $G$. We decompose $X$ as the union of $K$-orbits,

$$X = \sqcup_i X_i.$$

Then the distribution $\xi|_{X_i}$ on $X_i$ is a generalized $\chi|_K$-invariant. In view of Lemma 3.2, it is automatically $\chi|_K$-invariant. Hence there exists a constant number $\alpha_i$ such that $\xi|_{X_i} = \alpha_i \mu|_{X_i}$. It follows that $\xi = f \cdot \mu$, where $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a locally constant function on $X$ which is generalized invariant with respect to $G$ of order $\leq k$.

By pulling back to $G$, $f$ can be viewed as a generalized invariant locally constant function on $G$ which is trivial on $H$. In view of Lemma 3.2, $f$ can be further descended to a generalized invariant function on $\Lambda_G$ which is trivial with respect to the action of $H$. By [HS, Prop. 6.8, Lemma 6.12], $f$ can be written as a polynomial in $\mathrm{val} \circ \alpha_1, \mathrm{val} \circ \alpha_2, \cdots, \mathrm{val} \circ \alpha_r$ of degree $\leq k$, for some algebraic characters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r$ of $G$ which are trivial on $H$. It finishes the proof of the proposition. □

**Corollary 3.5.** *Assume $X = G/H$ is $\chi$-admissible. For any $g \in G$, if $|\nu(g)| = 1$ for any $\nu \in \Psi_X$, then $g$ acts on $D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ by $\chi(g)$.*

*Proof.* It immediately follows from Proposition 3.4.

□

3.2. **A localization principle for generalized semi-invariant distributions.** Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space. We define an $\ell$-sheaf on $X$ to be a sheaf of complex vector spaces on $X$. For any $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, let $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$ denote the space of all global sections of $\mathcal{F}$ with compact support. In particular, $S(X) = \Gamma_c(X, \mathbb{C}_X)$, where $\mathbb{C}_X$ denotes the sheaf of locally constant $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $X$. For each $x \in X$, denote by $\mathcal{F}_x$ the stalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at $x$; and for each $s \in \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$, denote by $s_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$ the germ of $s$ at $x$. The set $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x$ carries a unique topology such that for all $s \in \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$, the map

$$X \to \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x, \quad x \mapsto s_x$$

is an open embedding. Then $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$ is naturally identified with the space of all compactly supported continuous sections of the map $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x \to X$.

Let $G$ be an $\ell$-group which acts continuously on an $\ell$-space $X$.

**Definition 3.6.** ( [BZ, Section 1.17]) A $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf on $X$ is an $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, together with a continuous group action

$$G \times \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x \to \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x$$

such that for all $x \in X$, the action of each $g \in G$ restricts to a linear map $\mathcal{F}_x \to \mathcal{F}_{g.x}$.

Given a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, the space $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a smooth representation of $G$ so that

$$(g.s)_{g.x} = g.s_x \quad \text{for all } g \in G,\ x \in X,\ s \in \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

For each $G$-stable locally closed subset $Z$ of $X$, the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_Z$ is clearly a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf on $Z$.

We define the space of distributions on $\mathcal{F}$ as follows

$$D(X,\mathcal{F}) := \Gamma_c(X,\mathcal{F})^*.$$

Similar to the right action of $G$ on $D(X)$, we have a right action of $G$ on $D(X,\mathcal{F})$. For any character $\chi$ of $G$. Let $D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ be the space of generalized invariant distributions of order $\leq k$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, and

$$D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,\infty} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}.$$

For each $k$, $D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ carries a right locally finite action of $G$.

Similar to Lemma 3.1, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any $k$, $D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k} = \mathrm{Hom}_G(\Gamma_c(X,\mathcal{F}) \otimes J_{G,k}, \chi)$.*

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space and let $G$ be an $\ell$-group acts trivially on $X$. Given a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$. Fix $g \in G$, if $g$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_x$ trivially for any $x \in X$, then $g$ also acts trivially on $\Gamma_c(X,\mathcal{F})$.*

*Proof.* The lemma is immediate, since the space $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F})$ can be identified with all compactly supported continuous sections of the map $\bigsqcup_{x\in X} \mathcal{F}_x \to X$. □

**Proposition 3.9.** *Given a $G$-equivariant morphism $p : X \to Y$ of $\ell$-spaces such that $G$ acts on $Y$ trivially. Given a $G$-equivariant $\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ and let $g$ be an element in $G$. If $g$ acts on $D(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F})^{\chi,\infty}$ by the scalar $\chi(g)$ for any $y \in Y$, then $g$ also acts on $D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,\infty}$ by the scalar $\chi(g)$.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show for any $k$, the action of $g$ on $D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ is given by $\chi(g)$. Recall the $G \times G$-module $J_{G,k}$ in Section 3.1. First of all in view of Lemma 3.7, we have the following natural isomorphisms of $G$-modules

$$D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k} = (\Gamma_c(X,\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G)^*,$$

and

$$D(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F}|_{p^{-1}(y)})^{\chi,k} = (\Gamma_c(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k}|_{p^{-1}(y)})_G)^*$$

for any $y \in Y$ and $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Here $\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \chi^{-1} \otimes J_{G,k}$ is naturally a $G \times G$-equivariant sheaf, where the first copy of $G$ acts diagonally on $\mathcal{F}$, $\chi^{-1}$ and $J_{G,k}$ from the left, and the second copy of $G$ acts individually on the right of $J_{G,k}$.

By a theorem of Bernstein-Zelevinsky (cf.[BZ, Proposition 2.36]), there exists an $\ell$-sheaf $(\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G$ on $Y$ such that

$$\Gamma_c(Y,(\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G) = \Gamma_c(X,\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G,$$

and for any $y \in Y$,

$$((\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G)_y = \Gamma_c(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k}|_{p^{-1}(y)})_G,$$

where the coinvariants are taken with respect to the diagonal action of $G$.

The $\ell$-sheaf $(\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G$ still carries the action of the second copy of $G$, which acts on $Y$ trivially. Moreover the right $G$-action on $D(X,\mathcal{F})^{\chi,k}$ and $D(p^{-1}(y),\mathcal{F}|_{p^{-1}(y)})^{\chi,k}$

exactly come from the the action of the second copy of $G$ on $(\mathcal{F}_{\chi,k})_G$. By Lemma 3.8, the proposition follows. □

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space with action of $G$. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a $G$-equivariant continuous map of $\ell$-spaces, where $G$ acts on $Y$ trivially. Assume that for any $y \in Y$, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a disjoint union of finite many closed $G$-orbits. If for any $\chi$-admissible orbit $\mathrm{O}$ in $X$, $g$ acts on $D(\mathrm{O})^{\chi,\infty}$ is $\chi(g)$, then $g$ acts on $D(X)^{\chi,\infty}$ also by $\chi(g)$.*

*Proof.* For any $y \in Y$, since $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a disjoint union of finite many closed $G$-orbits, we have

$$D(\pi^{-1}(y))^{\chi,\infty} = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{O}} D(\mathrm{O})^{\chi,\infty},$$

where the summation is taken over $G$-orbits in $\pi^{-1}(y)$. Clearly $g$ acts on $D(\pi^{-1}(y))^{\chi,\infty}$ by $\chi(g)$. By Proposition 3.9, the proof is concluded. □

3.3. **A non-degenerate pairing of lattices.** Let $\mathsf{G}$ be a connected linear algebraic group defined over F. Put

$$\Psi_{\mathsf{G}} := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{G}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

be the group of all algebraic characters on $\mathsf{G}$. Here $\mathbb{G}_m$ denotes the multiplicative group of F. Then $\Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$ is a lattice, i.e. a finitely generated free abelian group. Write $\mathrm{e}_{\mathsf{G}}$ for its rank. When $\mathsf{G}$ is reductive, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathsf{G}}$ is equal to the rank of the split central torus.

Define a map

$$\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F}) \times \Psi_{\mathsf{G}} \to \mathbb{Z}, \qquad (g, \nu) \mapsto \mathrm{val}(\nu(g)), \tag{1}$$

where val is the valuation map on the non-archimedian field F. Recall that $\Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})}$ is the quotient of $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ by $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})^\circ$ the subgroup of $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ generated by all compact open subgroups.

**Lemma 3.11.** *The map* (1) *descends to a bilinear map*

$$\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} : \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})} \times \Psi_{\mathsf{G}} \to \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that, for any algebraic character $\nu \in \Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$ and for any $g \in \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})^\circ$ we always have $\mathrm{val}(\nu(g)) = 0$.

The map $\mathrm{val} \circ \nu : \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is continous, where we take discrete topology on $\mathbb{Z}$. For any open compact subgroup $K$ of $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$, the image is compact subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$, which is forced to be trivial. Hence the lemma follows. □

The paring $\langle\, ,\, \rangle_{\mathsf{G}}$ is compatible with homomorphisms of algebraic groups in the sense of the following obvious lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\phi : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}$ be a homomorphism of connected linear algebraic groups defined over* F. *Then*

$$\langle g, \nu \circ \phi \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} = \langle \Lambda_\phi(g), \nu \rangle_{\mathsf{H}}$$

*for all $g \in \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})}$ and $\nu \in \Psi_{\mathsf{H}}$. Here $\Lambda_\phi : \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{\mathsf{H}(\mathrm{F})}$ denotes the homomorphism induced by $\phi : \mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{H}$.*

Let $\mathsf{L}$ be a connected reductive group defined over F. We write

$$\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{SA}[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}],$$

where $\mathsf{S}$ denotes the maximal split central torus in $\mathsf{L}$, $\mathsf{A}$ denotes the maximal anisotropic central torus in $\mathsf{L}$, and $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}]$ denotes the derived subgroup of $\mathsf{L}$. Write

$$\mathsf{L}^\dagger := \mathsf{A}[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}].$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have* $\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})^\circ = \mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})$.

*Proof.* Since $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}]$ is a semisimple connected linear algebraic group over F, every open normal subgroup of $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F})$ has finite index in it (cf [PR, Proposition 3.18]). Since $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F})^\circ$ is open and normal in $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F})$, it implies that

$$([\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F}))^\circ = [\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F}).$$

Since $\mathsf{A}$ is an anisotropic torus, $\mathsf{A}(\mathrm{F})$ is compact. Therefore $(\mathsf{A}(\mathrm{F}))^\circ = \mathsf{A}(\mathrm{F})$. Now we have that

$$(\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F}))^\circ \supset ([\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F}))^\circ(\mathsf{A}(\mathrm{F}))^\circ = ([\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F}))(\mathsf{A}(\mathrm{F})).$$

Note that $([\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}](\mathrm{F}))(\mathsf{A}(\mathrm{F}))$ has finite index in $\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})$ (cf. [PR, Corollary 2 of Theorem 6.16]). It forces that $\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})^\circ = \mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})$. □

The following lemma was stated in [Be, Chapter II, Prop.22].

**Lemma 3.3.** *The group* $\Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})}$ *is a lattice of rank* $\mathrm{e}_{\mathsf{L}}$.

*Proof.* Note that $\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})/\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})$ is topologically isomorphic to a finite index subgroup of $(\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger)(\mathrm{F})$ (cf [PR, Corollary 2 of Theorem 6.16]). It implies that $\Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})/\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})}$ is a sublattice of $\Lambda_{(\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger)(\mathrm{F})}$ with torsion quotient. Hence the rank of $\Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})/\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})}$ is $e_{\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger} = e_{\mathsf{L}}$.

Now Lemma 3.2 implies that $\Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})} = \Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})/\mathsf{L}^\dagger(\mathrm{F})}$. This proves the lemma.

□

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 3.4.** (1) *Let* $\mathsf{T}$ *is a split torus. Then* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathsf{T}}$ *is a perfect paring, namely it induces an isomorphism from* $\Lambda_{\mathsf{T}(\mathrm{F})}$ *to* $\mathrm{Hom}(\Psi_{\mathsf{T}},\mathbb{Z})$.

(2) *Let* $\phi : \mathsf{T}_1 \to \mathsf{T}_2$ *be a surjective homomorphism of split tori over* F *with finite kernel. Then its induced homomorphism* $\Lambda_{\mathsf{T}_1(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{\mathsf{T}_2(\mathrm{F})}$ *is injective.*

We are now ready to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** *The paring* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} : \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})} \times \Psi_{\mathsf{G}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ *is non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathsf{L}$ be a Levi component of $\mathsf{G}$, namely it is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathsf{G}$ such that $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{L} \ltimes \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{G}}$, where $\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{G}}$ denotes the unipotent radical of $\mathsf{G}$. Then the projection homomorphism $\mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{L}$ induces an identification

$$\Psi_{\mathsf{G}} = \Psi_{\mathsf{L}}.$$

Recall that $\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{G}}(\mathrm{F})$ is the union of all its compact subgroups. Therefore the projection homomorphism $\mathsf{G} \to \mathsf{L}$ also induces an identification

$$\Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})} = \Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})}.$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, it suffices to prove the proposition for the connected reductive group $\mathsf{L}$.

As above we write

$$\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{SA}[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}],$$

where $\mathsf{S}$ denotes the maximal split central torus in $\mathsf{L}$, $\mathsf{A}$ denotes the maximal anisotropic central torus in $\mathsf{L}$, and $[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}]$ denotes the derived subgroup of $\mathsf{L}$. Write

$$\mathsf{L}^\dagger := \mathsf{A}[\mathsf{L},\mathsf{L}].$$

The natural algebraic group homomorphisms

$$\mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{L} \to \mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger \tag{2}$$

induce group homomorphisms

$$\Lambda_{\mathsf{S}(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{(\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger)(\mathrm{F})}. \tag{3}$$

The homomorphisms (2) also induce group homomorphisms

$$\Psi_{\mathsf{S}} \leftarrow \Psi_{\mathsf{L}} \leftarrow \Psi_{\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger}. \tag{4}$$

It is clear that the two homomorphisms in (4) are injective, and three lattices have the same rank.

Part (2) of Lemma 3.4 implies that $\Lambda_{\mathsf{S}(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{(\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger)(\mathrm{F})}$ is injective. Hence the map $\Lambda_{\mathsf{S}(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})}$ is also injective. In view of Lemma 3.3 and part (1) of Lemma 3.4, the lattice $\Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})}$ has the same rank as $\Lambda_{\mathsf{S}(\mathrm{F})}$. It implies that $\Lambda_{\mathsf{L}(\mathrm{F})} \to \Lambda_{(\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger)(\mathrm{F})}$ is also injective.

In view of Lemma 3.1, the non-degeneracy of $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{\mathsf{S}}$ and $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{\mathsf{L}/\mathsf{L}^\dagger}$ imply the non-degeneracy of the paring $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{\mathsf{L}}$. Consequently, the paring $\langle\,,\,\rangle_{\mathsf{G}}$ is also non-degenerate. □

3.4. **Invariance of Igusa zeta integral.** In this subsection we keep the same setup as in Theorem 2.5. Recall that $f$ is $\nu$-invariant, i.e. $f(g\cdot x) = \nu(g)f(x)$, and $\mu$ is $\chi$-invariant. Then the zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}$ satisfies the following invariance:

$$Z_{f,\mu}\cdot g = |\nu(g)|^s \chi(g) Z_{f,\mu}. \tag{5}$$

For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))$, $Z_{f,\mu}(\phi)$ is a meromorphic function in $s$. Consider the Laurent expansion of $Z_{f,\mu}$

$$Z_{f,\mu} = \sum Z_{f,\mu,i} s^i,$$

where $Z_{f,\mu,i} \in D(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))^{\chi,\infty}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $i_0$ be the largest integer such that $Z_{f,\mu,-i_0} \neq 0$ and $Z_{f,\mu,-i_0-1} = 0$.

**Lemma 3.12.** (1) *For any $i$, we have the following relation*

$$Z_{f,\mu,i}\cdot(g-\chi(g)) = \chi(g)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\log|\nu(g)|)^k}{k!} Z_{f,\mu,i-k},$$

(2) *For any $i \geq -i_0$, $Z_{f,\mu,i} \neq 0$, and for any $i < -i_0$, $Z_{f,\mu} = 0$. Moreover $Z_{f,\mu,i} \in D(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))^{\chi,i+i_0}$.*

(3) *The coefficients $Z_{f,\mu,-i_0}, Z_{f,\mu,-i_0+1}, \cdots, Z_{f,\mu,0}, \cdots$ are linearly independent in $D(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))^{\chi,\infty}$.*

*Proof.* The Taylor expansion of $|\nu(g)|^s$ at $s=0$ is

$$|\nu(g)|^s = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log|\nu(g)|)^j}{j!} s^j,$$

By comparing the Laurent expansion of the both sides of (5), we have

$$Z_{f,\mu,i} \cdot g = \chi(g)(Z_{f,\mu,i} + \log|\nu(g)| Z_{f,\mu,i-1} + \frac{(\log|\nu(g)|)^2}{2} + \cdots). \tag{6}$$

It proves the part (1) of the lemma.

Note that $Z_{f,\mu,-i_0} \neq 0$ is $\chi$-invariant. Use the formula (6) and by induction we can easily see that for any $i \geq -i_0$, $Z_{f,\mu,i} \neq 0$ and $Z_{f,\mu,i} \in D(\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F}))^{\chi, i+i_0}$.

Part (3) of the lemma also easily follows from the formula (6). □

**Proposition 3.13.** *If the zeta integral $Z_{f,\mu}$ has pole at $s=0$, then there is at least one $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)$.*

*Proof.* If there is no $\chi$-invariant distributions on every $G$-orbit in $V(f)$, by the localization principle of Bernstein-Zelevinsky there is no $\chi$-invariant distribution on $V(f)$.

If $Z_{f,\mu}$ has pole at $s=0$ of order $r$, in view of Lemma 3.12 $Z_{f,\mu,-r}$ is a $\chi$-invariant distribution and supported on $V(f)$. It is a contradiction. Hence there exists at least one $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)$. □

**Remark 3.14.** *This proposition is a generalization of a result of Igusa, Gyoja in the case of group action on vector spaces (cf.* [Ig, Theorem 8.5.1] *and the remark therein).*

It implies that if there is no $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)$, then $Z_{f,\mu}$ is analytic at $s=0$, hence $\mu$ can be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$. Comparing with [HS, Theorem 1.4], in special cases we get stronger result, i.e. we don't need to assume non-weakly admissibility.

3.5. **Final proof.** Before we conclude Theorem 2.5, we need to make more preparations.

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let $X$ be an $\ell$-space with the action of an $\ell$-group $G$. Let $Y$ be a $G$-stable locally closed subset of $X$. let $\chi$ be a character of $G$. If all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $X$ belong to $Y$. Then we have a natural embedding of $G$-modules*

$$D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \hookrightarrow D(Y)^{\chi,\infty}.$$

*Proof.* Let $\overline{Y}$ be closure of $Y$ in $X$. Note that we have the following exact sequence of $G$-modules

$$0 \to D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \to D(X\backslash\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty}.$$

Since there is no $\chi$-admissible orbits on $X\backslash\overline{Y}$, by Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle $D(X\backslash\overline{Y})^{\chi} = 0$. It implies that $D(X\backslash\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} = 0$. Hence we have the isomorphism

$$D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \simeq D(X)^{\chi,\infty}.$$

Since $Y$ is open in $\overline{Y}$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to D(\overline{Y}\backslash Y)^{\chi,\infty} \to D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(Y)^{\chi,\infty}.$$

Using Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle again, $D(\overline{Y}\backslash Y)^{\chi,\infty} = 0$. Hence we get the embedding

$$D(X)^{\chi,\infty} \simeq D(\overline{Y})^{\chi,\infty} \hookrightarrow D(Y)^{\chi,\infty}.$$

□

Recall that $\Psi_i$ is the sublattice of $\Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$ spanned by $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ for all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$.

**Lemma 3.16.** *For any algebraic character $\nu \in \Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$, if $\nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, then there exits $g \in \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ such that $|\nu(g)| \neq 1$ and for any $\nu' \in \Psi_i$, $|\nu'(g)| = 1$.*

*Proof.* Let $\tilde{\Psi}_i$ be the lattice $\Psi_i + \mathbb{Z}\nu \subset \Psi_{\mathsf{G}}$. The assumption $\nu \notin \Psi_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ implies that

$$\mathrm{rank}(\tilde{\Psi}_i) = \mathrm{rank}(\Psi_i) + 1.$$

Let $\Psi_i^{\perp}$ be the sublattice of $\Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})}$ as follows

$$\Psi_i^{\perp} := \{\bar{g} \in \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})} \mid \langle \bar{g}, \Psi_i \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} = 0\}$$

and similarly let $\tilde{\Psi}_i^{\perp}$ be the following lattice

$$\tilde{\Psi}_i^{\perp} := \{\bar{g} \in \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})} \mid \langle \bar{g}, \tilde{\Psi}_i \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} = 0\}.$$

By the non-degeneracy of the pairing $\langle\rangle_{\mathsf{G}} : \Lambda_{\mathsf{G}(F)} \times \Psi_{\mathsf{G}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ (Proposition 3.5), we have

$$\mathrm{rank}(\Psi_i^{\perp}) = \mathrm{rank}(\tilde{\Psi}_i^{\perp}) + 1.$$

In particular there exists $g \in \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ such that $\langle \bar{g}, \nu \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} \neq 0$ and $\langle \bar{g}, \Psi_i \rangle_{\mathsf{G}} = 0$.

□

By Lemma 3.16, for each $i$ there exists an element $g_i \in \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ such that $|\nu(g_i)| \neq 1$ and for any $\nu' \in \Psi_i$, $|\nu'(g_i)| = 1$. In view of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, $g_i$ acts on $D(\mathrm{O})^{\chi,\infty}$ by $\chi(g_i)$ for any orbit O in $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$.

**Lemma 3.17.** *With the choice of $g_i$ as above, $g_i$ acts on $D(V_i\backslash V_{i-1})^{\chi,\infty}$ by $\chi(g_i)$.*

*Proof.* By assumption of Theorem 2.5, there exists a fiberizable $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$-stable locally closed subset $Y_i$ of $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$ such that all $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V_i\backslash V_{i-1}$ are contained in $Y_i$. By Proposition 3.10, $g_i$ acts on $D(Y_i)^{\chi,\infty}$ by $\chi(g_i)$. In view of Lemma 3.15, $D(V_i\backslash V_{i-1})^{\chi,\infty} \subset D(Y_i)^{\chi,\infty}$. Hence $g_i$ acts on $D(V_i\backslash V_{i-1})^{\chi,\infty}$ also by $\chi(g_i)$. □

Let $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{\ell_\chi}$ be all integers such that $V_{i_t}\backslash V_{i_t-1}$ contains $\chi$-admissible orbits. Let $g_{i_t} \in \mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$ be the element such that $|\nu(g_{i_t})| \neq 1$ and for any $\nu' \in \Psi_{i_t}$, $|\nu(g_{i_t})| = 1$.

**Lemma 3.18.** *$(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_{\ell_\chi}} - \chi(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}}))$ acts on $D(V(f))^{\chi,\infty}$ by zero.*

*Proof.* First of all we look at the following exact sequence of $\mathsf{G}(\mathrm{F})$-modules

$$0 \to D(V_{i_{\ell_\chi}})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(V(f))^{\chi,\infty} \to D(V(f)\backslash V_{i_{\ell_\chi}})^{\chi,\infty}.$$

Since there is no $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)\backslash V_{i_{\ell_\chi}}$, by Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle

$$D(V(f))^{\chi,\infty} \simeq D(V(f)\backslash V_{i_{\ell_\chi}})^{\chi,\infty}.$$

It follows that

$$D(V(f))^{\chi,\infty} \simeq D(V_{i_{\ell_\chi}})^{\chi,\infty}.$$

We use induction to show that for any $t$, $(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2}, \chi(g_{i_2}))\cdots(g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t}))$ acts on $D(V_{i_t})^{\chi,\infty}$ by zero. Look at the following exact sequence

$$0 \to D(V_{i_{t-1}})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(V_{i_t})^{\chi,\infty} \to D(V_{i_t}\backslash V_{i_{t-1}})^{\chi,\infty}.$$

By the same proof of using exact sequence and Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle as above, we have

$$D(V_{i_t}\backslash V_{i_{t-1}})^{\chi,\infty} = D(V_{i_t}\backslash V_{i_t-1})^{\chi,\infty}.$$

By induction $(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})\cdots(g_{i_{t-1}} - \chi(g_{i_{t-1}})))$ acts on $D(V_{i_{t-1}})^{\chi,\infty}$ by zero, and in view of Lemma 3.17, $g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t})$ acts on $D(V_{i_t}\backslash V_{i_t-1})^{\chi,\infty}$ by zero. Hence it follows that $(g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2}))\cdots(g_{i_t} - \chi(g_{i_t}))$ acts on $D(V_{i_t})^{\chi,\infty}$ by zero. It finish the proof of the lemma. □

Finally we come back to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

If there is no $\chi$-admissible orbits in $V(f)$, in view of Proposition 3.13, the theorem holds.

We now assume there is at least one $\chi$-admissible orbit in $V(f)$. In this case $\ell_\chi \geq 1$.

If $Z_{f,\mu}$ has no pole at $s = 0$, then the theorem holds trivially. Otherwise, $Z_{f,\mu,-1} \neq 0 \in D(V(f))^{\chi,\infty}$.

We first show that the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by $\ell_\chi$. If $Z_{f,\mu}$ is analytic at $s = 0$, then it is clearly true. If $Z_{f,\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$, then $Z_{f,\mu,-1} \neq 0$ and it is supported in $V(f)$. In view of Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.18, we have

$$0 = Z_{f,\mu,-1} \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2}))\cdots(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}} - \chi(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}})) \tag{7}$$

$$= \chi(g_{i_1}g_{i_2}\cdots g_{i_{\ell_\chi}}) \log|\nu(g_{i_1})| \log|\nu(g_{i_2})| \cdots \log|\nu(g_{\ell_\chi})| \cdot Z_{f,\mu,-\ell_\chi-1} + \cdots, \tag{8}$$

where $\cdots$ denotes more terms consisting of $Z_{f,\mu,i}$ if it is nonzero for $i < -\ell_\chi - 1$. For each $t$, $|\nu(g_{i_t})| \neq 1 \iff \log(|\nu(g_{i_t})|) \neq 0$. By the linear independence of $Z_{f,\mu,i}$ (Lemma 3.12), $Z_{f,\mu,-\ell_\chi-1} = 0$. In particular it implies that the pole of $Z_{f,\mu}$ at $s = 0$ is bounded by $\ell_\chi$.

We now prove the second part of the theorem. By assumption $Z_{f,\mu}$ exactly has pole of order $\ell_\chi$ at $s = 0$ where $\ell_\chi \geq 1$. Assume $\mu$ can be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution $\tilde{\mu}$. Then $Z_{f,\mu,0} - \tilde{\mu}$ is supported on $V(f)$. By Lemma 3.18,

$$(Z_{f,\mu,0} - \tilde{\mu}) \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2}))\cdots(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}} - \chi(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}})) = 0. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, in view of Lemma 3.12 we have

$$(Z_{f,\mu,0} - \tilde{\mu}) \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_{\ell_\chi}} - \chi(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}})) \tag{10}$$

$$= Z_{f,\mu,0} \cdot (g_{i_1} - \chi(g_{i_1})(g_{i_2} - \chi(g_{i_2})) \cdots (g_{i_{\ell_\chi}} - \chi(g_{i_{\ell_\chi}})) \tag{11}$$

$$= \chi(g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_{\ell_\chi}}) \log|\nu(g_{i_1})| \log|\nu(g_{i_2})| \cdots \log|\nu(g_{\ell_\chi})| \cdot Z_{f,\mu,-\ell_\chi} \tag{12}$$

is not zero, since for each $t$, $\log(|\nu(g_{i_t})|) \neq 0$. It contradicts with (9). Hence $\mu$ can not be extended to a $\chi$-invariant distribution on $\mathsf{X}(\mathrm{F})$. It finishes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

## 4. Examples

We consider the action of $\mathrm{G} = \mathrm{F}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{F}) \times \mathrm{F}^\times$ on $\mathrm{V} := \mathrm{F}^n \times \mathrm{F}^n$ given by

$$(a, g, b) \cdot (x, y) = (axg^{-1}, b^{-1}yg^t),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) \in \mathrm{F}^n$, $a, b \in \mathrm{F}^\times$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathrm{F})$ and $g^t$ is the transpose of $g$.

For convenience we denote by $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ the following character of G,

$$(a, g, b) \mapsto \chi_1(a)\chi_2(\det g)\chi_3(b).$$

Any character of G is given by $(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ for some characters $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3$ of $\mathrm{F}^\times$.

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any character $\underline{\chi}$ of* G, $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} \leq 1$ .

When $n = 1$, $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$, the arguments will be different. We will prove the more precise statements in Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.8 for each case.

The G-space V is a prehomogeneous space with the semi-invariant polynomial

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot y^t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i.$$

The polynomial $f$ is $\nu$-invariant, where $\nu$ is an algebraic character of G given by $\nu(a, g, b) = ab^{-1}$, for any $(a, g, b) \in \mathrm{G}$.

Let $\mathrm{V}_f$ be the subset of V such that $f \neq 0$. Let Q be the zero set of $f$. We denote by $\mathrm{Q}^\times$ the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathrm{Q} | x \neq 0, y \neq 0\}$. Denote by $\mathrm{O}_{1,0}$ the subset $(\mathrm{F}^n \backslash \{0\}) \times \{0\} \subset \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{0,1}$ the subset $\{0\} \times (\mathrm{F}^n \backslash \{0\}) \subset \mathrm{V}$. When $n = 1$, $\mathrm{Q}^\times$ is empty. The following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 4.2.** (1) *If $n = 1$, the action of* G *on* V *consists of orbits* $\mathrm{V}_f, \mathrm{O}_{1,0}, \mathrm{O}_{0,1}, \{0\}$.
(2) *If $n \geq 2$, the action of* G *on* V *consists of orbits* $\mathrm{V}_f, \mathrm{Q}^\times, \mathrm{O}_{1,0}, \mathrm{O}_{0,1}, \{0\}$.

The closure relation of these orbits is clear.

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.3 by analysis on each orbit.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Given a character $\underline{\chi} = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3)$ of* G. *Then*

(1) $\underline{\chi}$ *is admissible on* $\mathrm{V}_f$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1\chi_2\chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \chi_1\chi_3 = 1, \chi_2 = 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

(2) $\underline{\chi}$ *is admissible on* $\mathrm{Q}^\times$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1\chi_2 = |\cdot|, \chi_2\chi_3 = |\cdot|^{-1} & \text{if } n = 2 \\ \chi_1 = |\cdot|^{n-1}, \chi_2 = 1, \chi_3 = |\cdot|^{-n+1} & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

(3) $\underline{\chi}$ *is admissible on* $\mathrm{O}_{1,0}$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1\chi_2 = 1, \chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } \quad n = 1 \\ \chi_1 = |\cdot|^n, \chi_2 = |\cdot|^{-1}, \chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

(4) $\underline{\chi}$ *is admissible on* $\mathrm{O}_{0,1}$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1 = 1, \chi_2\chi_3 = 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = |\cdot|, \chi_3 = |\cdot|^{-n} & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

(5) $\underline{\chi}$ *is admissible on* $\{0\}$ *if and only if* $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_3 = 1$.

We consider the following zeta integral

$$Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}(\phi) = \int_{\mathrm{V}} \phi(v)|f(v)|^s \chi(f(v))d\mu,$$

where $\chi$ is a character of $\mathrm{F}^\times$, $\mu$ is the Haar measure on V and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{V})$. The zeta integral $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ absolutely converges when $\Re(s) \gg 0$, moreover it admits a meromorphic continuation.

Note that $\mu$ is $(|\cdot|^n, 1, |\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant, and $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ is $(\chi|\cdot|^{s+n}, 1, \chi^{-1}|\cdot|^{-s-n})$-invariant.

By the following computation (cf.[Ig, Chapter 10.1]),

$$\int_{\mathrm{R}^{2n}} |f(v)|^s d\mu = \frac{(1-q^{-1})(1-q^{-n})}{(1-q^{-1-s})(1-q^{-n-s})}, \tag{13}$$

It implies that $Z_{f,|f|^{-1}\mu}$ and $Z_{f,|f|^{-n}\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Assume that* $n \geq 3$. *Then* $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$ *if and only if*

$$\chi_1\chi_3 = 1\,, \chi_2 = 1 \quad \textit{or} \tag{14}$$

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3) = (|\cdot|^n, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1) \ \textit{or} \ (1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-n}). \tag{15}$$

*Proof.* When the conditions (14) and (15) do not hold, Bernstein-Zelevinsky principle implies that $D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 0$.

Any semi-invariant distribution on $\mathrm{V}_f$ is given by $\chi(f)\mu$. It is $(\chi|\cdot|^n, 1, \chi^{-1}|\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant. If $\chi \neq |\cdot|^{-1}, |\cdot|^{-n}$, in view of Proposition 3.13 $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ is analytic at $s = 0$. Hence $\chi(f)\mu$ can be extended to a $(\chi|\cdot|^n, 1, \chi|\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant distribution on V. The extension is unique since other orbits are not $(\chi|\cdot|^n, 1, \chi|\cdot|^{-n})$-admissible.

If $\chi = |\cdot|^{-1}$ or $\chi = |\cdot|^{-n}$. The lattice $\Psi_{\mathrm{O}}$ is trivial for any $(\chi|\cdot|^n, 1, \chi|\cdot|^{-n})$-admissible orbit O. In view of (13), $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$. By Theorem 2.5 or Corollary 2.7, $Z_{f,\chi(f)\mu}$ has simple pole at $s = 0$ and $\chi(f)\mu$ can not be extended to a $(\chi|\cdot|^n, 1, \chi|\cdot|^{-n})$-invariant distribution on V. In this case, $(1, 1, 1)$-invariant distribution on V is only supported on $\{0\}$, and the $(|\cdot|^{1-n}, 1, |\cdot|^{n-1})$-invariant distribution is contributed by the $(|\cdot|^{1-n}, 1, |\cdot|^{n-1})$-invariant distribution on the closure of $\mathrm{Q}^\times$.

When $\underline{\chi} = (|\cdot|^n, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)$ or $(1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-n})$, by [HS, Theorem 1.4] $D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$. It finishes the proof. □

Now we consider the case $n = 2$. We denote by $\mathrm{Q}_{1,0}$ the set $\{(x, y)|f(x, y) = 0, x \neq 0\}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{0,1}$ the set $\{(x, y)|f(x, y) = 0, y \neq 0\}$. Note that $\{\mathrm{Q}_{1,0}, \mathrm{Q}_{0,1}\}$ gives an open covering of $\mathrm{Q}\backslash\{0\}$, $\mathrm{Q}^\times = \mathrm{Q}_{1,0} \cap \mathrm{Q}_{0,1}$, $\mathrm{Q}_{1,0} = \mathrm{Q}^\times \cup \mathrm{O}_{1,0}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{0,1} = \mathrm{Q}^\times \cup \mathrm{O}_{0,1}$.

**Lemma 4.5.** (1) *If* $\underline{\chi} = (|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)$, *then* $\dim D(\mathrm{Q}_{1,0})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.
(2) *If* $\underline{\chi} = (1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-2})$, *then* $\dim D(\mathrm{Q}_{0,1})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.

*Proof.* We will only prove the part (1), the proof for part (2) is similar.

Consider the projection map $p : \mathrm{Q}_{1,0} \to \mathrm{F}^2\backslash\{0\}$, given by $p(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = (x_1, x_2)$. The pre-image $p^{-1}(1, 0)$ is $\{(1, 0, 0, y_2)|y_1 \in \mathrm{F}\} \simeq \mathrm{F}$. The stabilizer $H$ of $G$ at $(1, 0)$ is

$$H = \{(a, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}, b) \mid \quad a, g_{21}, g_{22}, b \in \mathrm{F}\}.$$

The action of $H$ on the fiber $p^{-1}(1, 0) \simeq \mathrm{F}$ is given by

$$(a, \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{bmatrix}, b) \cdot y_2 = b^{-1} g_{22} y_2.$$

By Frobenius reciprocity (cf.[Be2, Section 1.5]), there exists a natural isomorphism

$$D(\mathrm{F})^H \simeq D(\mathrm{Q}_{1,0})^{(|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)}.$$

By Tate thesis, $\dim D(\mathrm{F})^H = 1$. It implies that $\dim D(\mathrm{Q}_{1,0})^{|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, 1)} = 1$. □

**Proposition 4.6.** *Assume that* $n = 2$. *Then* $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$ *if and only if*

$$\chi_1\chi_3 = 1\,, \chi_2 = 1 \quad \textit{or} \tag{16}$$

$$\chi_1\chi_2 = |\cdot|, \chi_2\chi_3 = |\cdot|^{-1}. \tag{17}$$

*Proof.* When the conditions (16) and (17) do not hold, Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle implies that $D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 0$.

By automatic extension theorem (cf.[HS, Theorem 1.4]), it is easy to check that if

$$\underline{\chi} \neq (1, 1, 1), (|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1}), (|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, ), (1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-2})$$

we have $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.

When $\underline{\chi} = (1, 1, 1)$, as the same reasoning as in Proposition 4.4, $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.

When $\underline{\chi} = (|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1})$. The character $\underline{\chi}$ is admissible on $\mathrm{V}_f$ and also on $\mathrm{Q}^\times$. By easy computation any admissible algebraic character G on $\mathrm{Q}^\times$ can be written as

$$(a, g, b) \mapsto a^m \det(g)^n b^k,$$

where $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m + n = 1, n + k = -1$. Hence $\nu$ is not admissible on $\mathrm{Q}^\times$. In view of (13), $Z_{f,|f|^{-1}\mu}$ has pole at $s = 0$. By Theorem 2.5 or Corollary 2.7, $Z_{f,|f|^{-1}\mu}$ has simple pole at $s = 0$ and $|f|^{-1}\mu$ can not be extended to V as a $(|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1})$-invariant distribution. On the other hand, by automatic extension theorem (cf.[HS, Theorem 1.4] ) the $(|\cdot|, 1, |\cdot|^{-1})$-invariant distribution supported on $\mathrm{Q}^\times$ can be uniquely extended to V. Hence $D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.

When $\underline{\chi} = (|\cdot|^2, |\cdot|^{-1}, )$ or $(1, |\cdot|, |\cdot|^{-2})$, by Lemma 4.5 and automatic extension theorem (cf.[HS, Theorem 1.4] ) we have $D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.

□

In the end, we assume $n = 1$.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The only* G*-invariant distribution on* V *is the delta distribution supported on* $\{0\}$.

*Proof.* The trivial character $\underline{\chi} = (1, 1, 1)$ is admissible on $\mathrm{F}^\times \times \mathrm{F}^\times$, $\mathrm{F}^\times \times \{0\}$, $\{0\} \times \mathrm{F}^\times$ and $\{(0, 0)\}$. By Tate thesis, $\underline{\chi}$-invariant distribution on $\mathrm{F}^\times \times \{0\}$ and $\mathrm{F}^\times \times \{0\}$ are not extendable.

We only need to check the measure $\mu = \frac{dxdy}{|xy|}$ is not extendable. Assume it can be extended to an invariant distribution $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\mathrm{F} \times \mathrm{F}$.

By simple computation, the zeta integral $Z_{xy,\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}}$ has pole at $s = 0$ of order 2. Consider the Laurent expansion,

$$Z_{xy,\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}} = Z_{-2}s^{-2} + Z_{-1}s^{-1} + Z_0 + \cdots .$$

Then $\tilde{\mu} - Z_0$ is supported on $\mathrm{F} \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times \mathrm{F}$. It is clear there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{\mu} - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0^x - \beta Z_0^y$ is supported at $\{(0, 0)\}$, where $Z_0^x$ (resp. $Z_0^y$) is the 0-th coefficient of the zeta integral $Z_{x,\frac{dx}{|x|}}$ (resp. $Z_{y,\frac{dy}{|y|}}$) on $\mathrm{F} \times \{0\}$ (resp. on $\{0\} \times \mathrm{F}$). Hence $\tilde{\mu} - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0^x - \beta Z_0^y$ is an invariant distribution. For any $a, b \in \mathrm{F}$ such that $|a|, |b| \neq 1$, we have

$$(a - 1)(b - 1)(\mu - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0^x - \beta Z_0^y) = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$(a - 1)(b - 1) \cdot (\tilde{\mu} - Z_0 - \alpha Z_0^x - \beta Z_0^y) \tag{18}$$

$$= (a - 1)(b - 1) \cdot \tilde{\mu} - (a - 1)(b - 1)Z_0 - (a - 1)(b - 1)(\alpha Z_0^x + \beta Z_0^y) \tag{19}$$

$$= -\log|a| \log|b| Z_{-2} \neq 0. \tag{20}$$

It is a contradiction. It follows that $\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}$ is not extendable to V as an invariant distribution, and the only invariant distribution on V is the delta distribution supported on $\{0\}$. □

**Proposition 4.8.** *Assume that* $n = 1$. *Then* $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$ *if and only if*

$$\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3 = 1.$$

*Proof.* When $\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3 \neq 1$, Bernstein-Zelevinsky localization principle implies that $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 0$.

We now assume that $\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3 = 1$. if $\chi_1\chi_2 \neq 1$ or $\chi_2\chi_3 \neq 1$, then by automatic extension theorem (cf.[HS, Theorem 1.4]), we have $\dim D(\mathrm{V})^{\underline{\chi}} = 1$.

When $\underline{\chi} = (1, \chi, \chi^{-1})$ where $\chi$ is not trivial. Consider the $\underline{\chi}$-invariant distribution $\chi(y)\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}$. If $\chi \neq 1$, then the zeta integral $Z_{x,\chi(y)\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}}$ has pole at $s = 0$. The character $\underline{\chi}$ is admissible on $\mathsf{V}_f$ and $\{0\} \times \mathrm{F}^\times$. Note that the algebraic character $\nu(a, g, b) = ag^{-1}$ is not admissible on $\{0\} \times \mathrm{F}^\times$. By Corollary 2.7, $\chi(y)\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}$ is not extendable. Therefore the only $\underline{\chi}$-invariant distribution on V is the distribution $\chi(y)\frac{dy}{|y|}$ supported on $\{0\} \times \mathrm{F}^\times$.

By the same argument, when $\underline{\chi} = (\chi, \chi^{-1}, 1)$ where $\chi \neq 1$, the distribution $\chi(x)\frac{dxdy}{|xy|}$ is not extendable as an $\underline{\chi}$-invariant distribution on V. Therefore the only $\underline{\chi}$-invariant distribution on V is the distribution $\chi(x)\frac{dx}{|x|}$ supported on $\mathrm{F}^\times \times \{0\}$.

When $\underline{\chi} = (1, 1, 1)$, the Propotion follows from Lemma 4.7.

□

## References


[Be] J. Bernstein, Representations of $p$-adic groups Lectures by Joseph Bernstein, Written by Karl E. Rumelhart, Harvard University (Fall 1992).

[Be1] J. Bernstein, The analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter, Functional Anal.Appl., 6(1972), 273-285.

[Be2] J. Bernstein, P-invariant distributions on GL(n) and the classification of unitary representations of GL(n) (non-Archimedean case). Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 943, 50-102 (1984).

[BZ] J. Bernstein and A. Zelevinskii, Representations of tphe group $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ where $F$ is a Non-archimedean local field, Russian Math. Surveys 31, 3 (1976), 1-68.

[De] J. Denef, The rationality of the Poincar series associated to the p-adic points on a variety. Invent. Math. 77, 1 (1984), 1-23.

[De2] J. Denef, Report on Igusa's local zeta functions, Sém.Bourbaki 741(1991),1-25; Asterisque N. 201-203,359-386.

[HS] J. Hong and B. Sun, Generalized Semi-invariant Distributions on p-adic spaces. Math Ann (2016) DOI 10.1007/s00208-016-1444-8.

[Ig] J. Igusa, An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000.

[Ig1] J. Igusa, b-functions and p-adic integrals, Algebraic analysis, Vol. I, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 231-241.

[Ig2] J. Igusa, Complex powers and asymptotic expansions. I. Functions of certain types. J. Reine Angew. Math. 268/269 (1974), 110-130. Collection of articles dedicated to Helmut Hasse on his seventy-fifth birthday, II.

[Ki] T. Kimura, Introduction to prehomogeneous vector spaces. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original by Makoto Nagura and Tsuyoshi Niitani and revised by the author. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 215. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[KSZ] T. Kimura, F. Sato and X. Zhu, On the poles of p-adic complex powers and the b-functions of prehomogeneous vector spaces. Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990), no. 3, 423-437.

[MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, Geometric invariant theory. Third edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[PR] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, Algebraic groups and number theory, Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen, Pure and Applied Mathematics,139. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[PV] V. L. Popov and E .B. Vinberg, Invariant Theory, Algebraic Geometry IV, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 55, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[Ro] M. Rosenlicht, A remark on quotient spaces, An.Acad.Brasil.Ciênc, 35, 487-489, 1963.

[SKKO] M. Sato, M. Kashiwara, T. Kimura and T. Oshima. Microlocal analysisof prehomogeneous vector spaces. Inventiones math. 62, 117-179 (1980).

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, CB 3250 Phillips Hall Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, U.S.A.

*E-mail address*: jiuzu@email.unc.edu